

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is OST2012D50018.

Comment Date: February 20, 2012 18:45:21PM

OSTS 2012 Draft PEIS

Comment ID: OST2012D50018

First Name:

Middle Initial:

Last Name:

Organization:

Address:

Address 2:

Address 3:

City: Grand Junction

State: CO

Zip:

Country: USA

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Attachment:

Comment Submitted:

I am very disappointed with the BLM preference fore Alternative 2. It sounds like the BLM has taken a firm stance agains any Oil Shale Research and development programs. The BLM has obviously not asked for input from the industry, because this alternative does not provide incentive for industry to invest their monies into this resource. If it is the BLM responsiblity to manage our resources, I would say your progress so far has failed miserably. The BLM will be effectively shutting down the potential for long term job creation and sending a very bad message to the industry. What about national security? Is production of U.S. Oil reserves not part of the big picture? Alternative energies are a great mix to the energy spectrum, but you can't walk around blind expecting them to take the place of fossil fuels in the near future.